

**Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary**

Application No.

10/816,824

Applicant(s)

KIM, SEOG TAE

Examiner

Philip H. Leung

Art Unit

3742

**All Participants:**(1) Examiner Philip H. Leung.(2) Mr. Cheng-Kang HSU.**Status of Application:** fter Final

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 18 July 2005**Time:** 12:20 p.m.**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

5 and 9

Prior art documents discussed:


none

**Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

Attorney agreed to amend claims 5 and 9 to more clearly set forth the microwave oven by changing "for" to "of" in claims 5 and 9 and "the fumes generated during a cooking process" in claim 5 by an Examiner's Amendment (see the amendment for details).

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)